

05/17/99



J0135 U.S. PTO

09/312841

05/17/99

**UTILITY
PATENT APPLICATION
TRANSMITTAL**

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No.

862.1426 Div.I

First Named Inventor or Application Identifier

TERUYOSHI WASHIZAWA

Express Mail Label No.

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

ADDRESS TO:Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 202311. ☐ Fee Transmittal Form
(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)2. ☒ Specification Total Pages **45**3. ☒ Drawings (35 USC 113) Total Sheets **9**4. ☒ Oath or Declaration Total Pages **2**a. ☐ Newly executed (original or copy)b. ☐ Unexecuted for information purposesc. ☒ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)
[Note Box 5 below]i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**
Signed Statement attached deleting
inventor(s) named in the prior application, see
37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).5. ☒ Incorporation By Reference (useable if Box 4c is checked)
The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of
the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4c, is considered as
being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is
hereby incorporated by reference therein.6. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)7. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission
(if applicable, all necessary)a. ☐ Computer Readable Copyb. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies**ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS**8. ☐ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))9. ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement ☐ Power of Attorney
(when there is an assignee)10. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)11. ☒ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☐ Copies of IDS Citations12. ☒ Preliminary Amendment13. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
(Should be specifically itemized)14. ☐ Small Entity ☐ Statement filed in prior application
Statement(s) Status still proper and desired15. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
(if foreign priority is claimed)16. ☐ Other: _____

17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:

☐ Continuation☒ Divisional☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP)of prior application No. 08 /623,536**18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS**☒ Customer Number or Bar Code Label**05514**
(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)or ☐ Correspondence address below

NAME

Address

City

State

Zip Code

Country

Telephone

Fax



CLAIMS	(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) CALCULATIONS
	TOTAL CLAIMS (37 CFR 1.16(c))	12-20 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0
	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS (37 cfr 1.16(b))	2-3 =	0	X \$ 78.00 =	\$ 0
	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS (if applicable) (37 CFR 1.16(d))			\$260.00 =	\$
				BASIC FEE (37 CFR 1.16(a))	\$760.00
	Total of above Calculations =				\$760.00
	Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).				
	TOTAL =				\$760.00

19. Small entity status

- a. ☐ A Small entity statement is enclosed
- b. ☐ A small entity statement was filed in the prior nonprovisional application and such status is still proper and desired.
- c. ☐ Is no longer claimed.

20. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 760.00 to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

21. ☐ A check in the amount of \$ to cover the recordal fee is enclosed.

22. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit overpayments or charge the following fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1205:

- a. ☒ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.
- b. ☒ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.17.
- c. ☐ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.18.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED

NAME	JOSEPH W. RAGUSA, REG. NO. 38,586
SIGNATURE	<i>Joseph W. Ragusa</i>
DATE	May 14, 1999

862.1426

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
: Examiner: G. Desire
TERUYOSHI WASHIZAWA)
: Group Art Unit: 2721
Application No.: Divisional of)
Appln. No. 08/623,537 :
):
Filed: Herewith :
):
For: IMAGE PROCESSING :
APPARATUS AND METHOD) May 14, 1999

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Sir:

Prior to examination on the merits, please amend
the above-identified application as follows.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Immediately after the title, please insert the
following:

--This application is a division of Application No.
08/623,536, filed March 29, 1996.--

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel Claims 13-18 without prejudice and without disclaimer of subject matter.

Please amend Claim 7 as follows:

7. (Amended) The apparatus according to claim 6, further comprising memory means for storing code data [generated by the image processing apparatus described in claim 1,] and outputting the code data stored in said memory means to said decoding means.

REMARKS

This application is a division of Application No. 08/623,536, filed March 29, 1996. Claims 1-12, non-elected as being directed to Species I (Fig. 1) in the parent application, are presented now for examination on the merits.

Claim 7 has been amended above to place the claim in proper dependent form.

An Information Disclosure Statement is being filed herewith to cite the information made of record in the parent application. Pursuant to § 609 of the MPEP, no copies of the cited art are being provided. Of course, Applicant will

gladly send duplicate copies of the cited art upon request of the Examiner.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph W. Ruggie
Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 38,586

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND METHOD

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention:

5 This invention relates to an image processing
apparatus and method. More particularly, the invention
relates to an image processing apparatus and method for
high-efficiency encoding and recognition of images, as
well as to an image processing apparatus and method for
10 detecting the feature points of an image.

Description of the Related Art:

Many image encoding techniques have been developed
on the basis of filtering theory, which is based upon
analysis of frequency regions, and information-source
15 encoding theory. Since the transformation process and
inverse-transformation process of these theories have
structures that are symmetrical to each other, the
inverse transformation is self-evident and it is not
required that one be aware of the inverse-transformation
20 process. For this reason, the conventional encoding is
referred to as "symmetric encoding".

Symmetric encoding will be described in brief.
First, the performance demanded by symmetric encoding is
as follows:

(1) Universality: It is required that various types of image information be encodable efficiently.

(2) Compatibility: It is required that compatibility between image media having different resolutions be assured. To this end, there is need of a scheme through which bit strings for various image media having different resolutions are obtained if part of an encoded bit string is extracted.

(3) Fidelity: It is required that a reproduced image be close to the original image. This involves establishing the concept of distance between images for the purpose of evaluating fidelity.

Rapid progress in symmetric encoding has been made in recent years, and sub-band encoding in particular has become the focus of much research for the following reasons:

(1) A practical image processing scheme having a high degree of compatibility and suited to the service layer of image communication is capable of being implemented with ease.

(2) A visual spatial frequency characteristic modeled accurately in the sub-band region can be reflected directly in the control of encoding parameters.

Described next will be the research trends and

09313841 051399

technical level relating to transformation and quantization techniques, which are the requisite techniques of symmetric image encoding.

With regard to transformation techniques, studies
5 regarding prediction and orthogonal transformation have for the most part been completed, and investigations now proceeding deal mainly with band separating/synthesizing filters for sub-band encoding. The three capabilities required of a band separating/synthesizing filter are as
10 follows:

(1) Perfect reproducibility: In a case where an image is reproduced without performing encoding, it is required that the original signal be reproduced faithfully without causing distortion that accompanies
15 folding.

(2) Linear topological characteristic: It is required that the filter have a linear or near linear topological characteristic in image encoding.

(3) Orthogonality: There should be no overlapping
20 of filters for band separation.

At the present time, the only orthogonal filter of finite degree which truly satisfies the requirements of a linear topological characteristic and perfect reproducibility is the Haar filter (which is equivalent

09342241.054799

to a second-order quadrature mirror filter).

With regard to quantization techniques, an encoding characteristic that is near ideal has attained an implementable technical level. In high-rate

5 quantization of an unstored information source, combining linear quantization and entropy encoding of a quantized output makes it possible to achieve quantization at a quantization loss of approximately 0.25 bit/sample in comparison with the rate-distortion
10 limit. In case of quantization at a lower rate, an excellent quantization performance can be realized by combining scalar quantization, which is equipped with a dead zone, and entropy encoding of a quantized output, wherein the entropy encoding includes zero-run encoding.
15 Furthermore, at a low rate, utilization of vector quantization also is possible. If use is made of lattice vector quantization or a multi-dimensional quantization method in which a code book is furnished with a certain algebraic structure, as in the manner of
20 a permutation code, multi-dimensional, high-efficiency quantization closer to the rate-distortion limit can be realized over a wide range of from low to high rates.

However, if an image occupies a major portion of the information handled, encoding which relies solely

664759-1-054799

upon geometric information of the information handled is
insufficient. Accordingly, attempts to carry out
compression utilizing the structure of an image, namely
the structure of the actual world, have been studied
5 from several standpoints. These new attempts have
already shown that there is no assurance that the
transformation process and inverse-transformation
process will be symmetrical. With such encoding,
therefore, it is required that sufficient consideration
10 be given to the inverse-transformation process. Such
encoding is referred to as "asymmetric encoding".

The foundation of the concept of a new type of
image encoding (referred to as "asymmetric image
encoding"), sometimes called "feature extraction
15 encoding" or "structure extraction encoding" does not
necessarily depend completely upon filtering theory
alone, as is the case with conventional image encoding
techniques. With asymmetric image encoding, symbolizing
and reproduction algorithms are required to have the
20 following properties:

(1) When an input image contains noise, it is
required that fluctuation produced in a feature
parameter by such noise be very small.

(2) A set of input images mapped onto identical

feature parameters owing to the symbolizing algorithm should be as small as possible. If possible, the symbolizing algorithm should be one-to-one mapping to feature and structure parameters from the input image.

- 5 The feature and structure parameter space and the symbolizing algorithm should be complete.

- (3) The reproduction algorithm should be a stable, i.e., continuous mapping. More specifically, when noise due to coarse quantization appears in a feature
10 parameter extracted by the symbolizing algorithm, and even when the calculation accuracy is unsatisfactory, it is required that reproduction of an image close to the original image be assured.

- (4) It should be possible to calculate both the
15 symbolizing algorithm and reproduction algorithm stably in numerical fashion and in a finite number of steps.

Asymmetric image encoding presently being studied can be classified as follows:

•Application of IFS (Integrated Function Systems)

- 20 The self-similarity (fractal property) believed to exist between partial images of an input image is utilized to find a reduced mapping proper to each partial image, and encoding is attempted on the basis thereof. At the present time, an affine transformation

05343844-054799

is employed as the mapping. Since a reproduced image is given as a set of stable points in a dynamic system, the image is decoded by recursive processing.

•Application of edge information

5 It has long been known that edges provide important information in terms of visual information processing. A complete description of an image can be achieved by combining edge information and appropriate additional information. In an image encoding/decoding system that
10 employs edge information, the position of an edge is extracted from an input image by an encoder, a certain type of information (e.g., a differential coefficient) at this edge position is extracted and the image is reproduced by appropriate interpolation performed by a
15 decoder.

•Use of motion information

A method of estimating a motion vector as a feature parameter and performing encoding using this vector was been studied in the field of moving-picture encoding.
20 More specifically, a moving vector is predicted, three-dimensional motion and a three-dimensional structure (rule) are predicted on the basis of the predicted vector, and on the basis of these a three-dimensional structural (rule) model is constructed. The image is

encoded/decoded using this three-dimensional structural (rule) model.

At the present time, it is assumed that when IFS is applied in image encoding, the fractal property appears
5 to the same degree over the entirety of one image.
However, if a natural image is taken as an example, a plurality of objects will be present in one image.
Therefore, the assumption that there is a fractal property over the entirety of the natural image can only
10 mean that the above method is fairly careless. Further, the employment of edge information or motion information involves many unsolved technical problems in terms of pattern recognition, learning of knowledge, etc.

As mentioned above, an edge is widely accepted as
15 the feature of an image. Here an edge refers to a portion of the image where there is a large amount of change in image intensity (luminance, density, saturation and hue). Edge position is detected as the position of the maximal value of the first-order
20 differential coefficient or as the position at which a second-order differential coefficient becomes zero.

(See F. Ulupinar and G. Medioni: "Refining edges detected by a LOG operator", Computer vision, graphic and image processing, vol. 51, pp. 275 ~ 298, 1990.)

093434.054799
004790.7437660

A method of detecting a singularity by multi-resolution analysis has been proposed. (See S. Mallat and W.L. Hwang: "Singularity detection and processing with wavelets", IEEE Trans. information theory, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 617 ~ 643, Mar. 1992.) This method performs detection based upon the fact that a singularity exhibits a maximal value over all frequency components.

However, since a first-order differential coefficient has the effect of emphasizing noise, a method of detecting an edge from a first-order differential coefficient is susceptible to noise. Similarly, a method of detecting an edge from the zero-cross point of a second-order differential coefficient also is disadvantageous in that the precision of the position of the detected edge is influenced strongly by noise. Further, a method of detecting an edge by multi-resolution analysis is highly resistant to the effects of noise but involves a large amount of calculation.

Furthermore, an edge detected by these methods generally is continuous. If it is attempted to encode the original image based upon such an edge, it becomes necessary to execute some kind of threshold-value processing in order to select a finite number of feature points from a continuously existing edge.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide an image processing apparatus and method in which it is possible to execute asymmetric encoding that does not
5 require the extraction of three-dimensional motion and three-dimensional structures.

According to the present invention, the foregoing object is attained by providing an image processing apparatus, comprising: transformation means for
10 transforming data space of an input image to multi-resolution space and outputting a multi-resolution representation of the input image; detecting means for detecting a singularity in the input image; extracting means for extracting a local pattern, which is formed by
15 a spatial arrangement of intensities of the multi-resolution representation in a partial area containing the detected singularity, with regard to partial areas of a plurality of sizes; quantizing means for creating a quantization code book based upon the extracted local
20 pattern and replacing the multi-resolution representation by a code word using the code book; and encoding means for algebraic encoding code data which includes position coordinates of the singularity in the multi-resolution representation and the code word

093434.054789
08/15/90 14:22:50

provided by the quantizing means.

Another object of the present invention is to provide an image processing apparatus and method in which image data are retrieved from a partial image by

5 applying the aforementioned apparatus and method to image recognition.

According to the present invention, the foregoing object is attained by providing an apparatus further comprising memory means for storing degree of conformity
10 or quantization error, calculated by the quantizing means, when the local pattern is allocated to a representative vector; and deciding means which, on the basis of the degree of conformity or quantization error, is for deciding the order relating to the perspective
15 depth between any two representative vectors contained in the code data; wherein the encoding means encodes the order relating to the perspective depth.

A further object of the present invention is to provide an image processing apparatus and method
20 strongly resistant to the effects of noise, in which a finite number of feature points can be readily obtained.

According to the present invention, the foregoing object is attained by providing an image processing apparatus comprising: input means for entering an image;

transformation means for transforming data of the input image, which is represented by a function on a two-dimensional plane entered by the input means, to data of a vector field; detecting means for detecting a pole and
5 a zero point of image data transformed by the transformation means; arithmetic means for calculating a finite number of expansion coefficients of a polynomial expansion about the pole detected by the detecting means; and deciding means which, based upon the position
10 of each pole detected by the detecting means, is for deciding an area in which a polynomial expansion of finite degree obtained by the arithmetic means about each pole can be approximated.

Other features and advantages of the present
15 invention will be apparent from the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference characters designate the same or similar parts throughout the figures thereof.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20 Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the construction of an image processing apparatus according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a diagram showing an example of a spatial sampling interval in a certain scale;

Fig. 3 is a diagram showing an example of a local pattern of depth $\lambda = 3$;

Fig. 4 is a diagram showing an example of a local pattern of depth λ ;

5 Fig. 5 is a diagram showing an example of a set-theoretic order relation which holds true between a local pattern with depth k and a local pattern with depth λ ($>k$);

10 Fig. 6 is a diagram showing the manner in which a perspective order of representative vectors is extracted;

Fig. 7 is a block diagram illustrating the construction of an image processing apparatus according to a second embodiment of the present invention;

15 Fig. 8 is a diagram showing an example of a coordinate transformation by a wide-view lens illustrated in Fig. 7;

Fig. 9 is a diagram showing a path of integration performed by a singularity detector shown in Fig. 7; and

20 Fig. 10 is a diagram showing an example of division of an area by a transformation encoder illustrated in Fig. 7.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Embodiments of an image processing apparatus according to the present invention will now be described in detail with reference to the drawings.

First Embodiment

5 Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the construction of an image processing apparatus according to an embodiment of the present invention.

As shown in Fig. 1, the apparatus includes an image input unit 101, such as an image scanner, for reading
10 the image of an original and producing an input image 151. The image input unit 101 is also capable of directly entering image data from an image processor or computer, not shown. The input image 151 is represented by Equation (1) shown below. Further, in a case where
15 the input image is given as a digital image, it will suffice to convert this equation to discrete points.

$$f(x), x \in R^2 \quad (1)$$

A transformation unit 102 transforms the data space of the input image 151 to multi-resolution space. By
20 way of example, multi-resolution space is four-dimensional space defined by a one-dimensional scale parameter s , a two-dimensional shift parameter b and a one-dimensional rotation-angle parameter θ . The input image 151 expressed in multi-resolution space is

referred to as a multi-resolution representation 152.

The transformation unit 102 performs a transformation in accordance with Equations (2), (3), shown below. In

Equation (3), $R(\theta)$ represents a rotation matrix and ϕ_x a

5 function referred to as an analyzing wavelet, which satisfies the condition of Equation (4) below. Further, an image f is reproduced in the manner of Equation (5) below.

$$\langle f, \phi_{s,b,\theta} \rangle = \int_{R^2} dx \bar{\phi}_{s,b,\theta}(x) f(x) \quad (2)$$

$$\phi_{s,b,\theta}(x) = s^{-1} \phi(R(\theta)^{-1}(\frac{x-b}{s})) \quad (3)$$

$$C_\phi = (2\pi)^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta |\phi(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)|^2 < \infty \quad (4)$$

$$f = C_\phi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} \int_{R^2} db \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \langle f, \phi_{s,b,\theta} \rangle \phi_{s,b,\theta} \quad (5)$$

10 In actual calculation, a discrete multi-resolution space is convenient. In this case, each parameter is set in the manner indicated by Equation (6) below. In Equation (6), j represents the depth of a local pattern, defined later. This differs from the method of dealing
15 with parameters used ordinarily in that a shift parameter in Equation (6) has a width $2^{\text{Max}(0, k-j)}$. As shown in Fig. 2, the spatial sampling interval (the offset between an interval indicated at 201 and an interval indicated at 202) at a certain scale is equal
20 to a space width 203 of a wavelet base at a scale finer

0931E844.051799

than the above-mentioned scale by an amount equivalent to the depth of the local pattern.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} s &= 2^k \\ b &= (2^{\text{Max}(0, k-j)} \lambda \Delta x, 2^{\text{Max}(0, k-j)} m \Delta y) \\ \theta &= n \theta_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

In order to avoid confusion, real space coordinates are adopted as two-dimensional coordinates in real space (the image), and coordinates in multi-resolution space are denoted by parameter coordinates. When those having rotational symmetry are employed as analyzing wavelets ϕx , the multi-resolution space becomes three-dimensional, with the axis relating to the angle of rotation having omitted. In this embodiment, analyzing wavelets having rotational symmetry are employed in order to facilitate an understanding of the following discussion. However, the discussion would be similar even if the angle of rotation were taken into account. In the diagram used for the purpose of explanation, real space is expressed by one dimension for the sake of simplicity. Transformation in case of a analyzing wavelets having rotational symmetry is performed as indicated by Equation (7) below.

$$\langle f, \phi_{s,b} \rangle = \int_x dx \bar{\phi}_{s,b} f_{s,b}(x) = s^{-1} \phi\left(\frac{x-b}{s}\right) \quad (7)$$

A transformation unit 103 transforms the multi-resolution representation 152 to a representation of local pattern space and outputs a local pattern 153. The neighborhood $N_\lambda(s_1, b_1)$ with depth λ with respect to a

5 parameter coordinate $(s_1 = s^{k1}, b_1)$ is defined by Equations (8), (9) below. Fig. 3 is a diagram showing an example of a local pattern with depth $\lambda = 3$. This shows that if the parameter coordinates differ, then the region covered by the local pattern, namely the real
10 space region (the width b), and the scale region (which corresponds to the frequency region of width s) also differ.

$$s = 2^k, \quad k = \{k_1, k_1 - 1, \dots, \text{Max}(0, k_1 - \lambda)\} \quad (8)$$

$$b = b_1 \pm ((m - \frac{1}{2})2^k \Delta x, (n - \frac{1}{2})2^k \Delta y), m, n = \{1, \dots, 2^{k-k_1}\} \quad (9)$$

Thus, the neighborhood $N_\lambda(s_1, b_1)$ with depth λ is
15 equal to a quad tree (a binary tree in Fig. 3) including the node (s_1, b_1) as the root. The local pattern of parameter coordinates (s, b) with depth λ is that obtained by making the value of the wavelet coefficient $\langle \phi_{sj, bk} \rangle$ at the parameter coordinates correspond to each
20 node of $N_\lambda(s, b)$. The local pattern space of depth λ is

66750-14B7E60

referred to as function space established by defining an inner product, which is defined by Equation (10) below as the set of the quad tree mentioned above (see Fig. 4). The summation on the right-hand side of Equation (10) extends over nodes belonging to the local pattern. The local pattern space of depth λ will be denoted by T^λ and elements thereof will be denoted by $t_n^\lambda \in T^\lambda$ hereinafter.

$$\langle\langle f, \phi_{s_0 s_0^m, b_0+b} \rangle, \langle g, \phi_{s_0 s_0^m, b_1+b} \rangle \rangle_{m, b} = \sum_{m, b} \langle f, \phi_{s_0 s_0^m, b_0+b} \rangle \langle g, \phi_{s_0 s_0^m, b_1+b} \rangle \quad (10)$$

On the basis of information representing the parameter coordinates and depth sent from a quantizer 105, described later, the transformation unit 103 extracts the corresponding local patterns in discrete multi-resolution space and maps them in local pattern space of the respectively corresponding depths. Furthermore, the transformation unit 103 counts the frequency $p(t_n^\lambda)$ of appearance of each of the local patterns t_n^λ and stores this value.

A singularity detector 1031 detects singularities of an image that has been entered by the image input unit 101. An edge detected by a Laplacian filter or Canny filter or a feature point of the image may serve as a singularity.

On the basis of the frequency $p(t_n^\lambda)$ of appearance of the local patterns mentioned above, the code book creating unit 104 registers a local pattern t_n^λ , at which the appearance frequency p has exceeded a suitable value (threshold value), in a code book as a representative vector, and establishes a relationship between the representative vector and a codeword. A j th representative vector with depth λ will be denoted by d_n^λ hereinafter. It should be noted that there can be a case in which the threshold value with respect to the occurrence frequency p is set for every depth λ and a case in which the threshold value is set to be the same for all depths λ .

A set of elements for which a set-theoretic order relation hold true exists between a local pattern with depth k and a local pattern with depth λ ($>k$) (see Fig.

5. An inclusion-relation extractor 1041 connected to the code book creation unit 104 investigates the relation between local patterns having different depths.

The order relationship referred to here is indicated by Equation (11) below. When trees coincide, this means that the values of wavelet coefficients at each node coincide. Though local patterns having different depths

are correlated by such an order relation, the actual data contains an error. Therefore, representation as indicated by Equation (12) below using the inner product defined earlier is more realistic.

5 Among the partial trees $d_i^\lambda > d_j^k$, if and only if

there is at least one subtree of d_i^λ

which coincides with d_j^k (11)

$d_i^\lambda > d_j^k$, if and only if there is at least one

subtree of d_i^λ for which the inner product with d_j^k

10 is greater than a certain value (12)

A quantizer 105 compares the multi-resolution

representation 152 with a representative vector in a

code book 154. The quantizer 105 performs the

comparison with regard to local patterns of all depths

15 for which representative vectors exist at each of the

parameter coordinates in multi-resolution space, and the

local patterns in the neighborhood of each of the

parameter coordinates are replaced by code words

corresponding to the representative vector d_n^λ for which

20 the above-mentioned inner product is maximized, thereby

achieving encoding. In a case where the maximal value

of the inner product does not become greater than a

certain threshold value, the local pattern which is the

09312841.054799
662750-7482760

object of comparison is adopted as the candidate for a
representative vector and the parameter coordinates and
depth thereof are outputted to the transformation unit
103. As a result of this processing, correspondence
5 between appropriate code words and all parameter
coordinates of multi-resolution space is obtained.

A redundancy eliminating unit 106 compresses an
image 155 that has been encoded by the above-described
local pattern. The data encoded using the
10 representative vectors at each of the parameter
coordinates are redundant in the sense of the order
relation between representative vectors close to each
other in real space (see Fig. 5). Accordingly, among
the representative vectors supportive of which in real
15 space share a common region, the redundancy eliminating
unit 106 deletes unnecessary representative vectors
using the set-theoretical order relation extracted by
the inclusion-relation extractor 1041.

A perspective-order computation unit 107 extracts
20 the perspective-order relationship with respect to real
depth in the real space of real objects represented by
representative vectors from a signal 156 outputted by
the redundancy eliminating unit 106. There exists some
pairs of representative vectors close to each other in

the real space supportive of which in real space share a common region. Accordingly, these perspective-order relationships can be obtained depending upon which of two representative vectors is nearer than the other to the wavelet coefficients in the common region. This will be described with reference to Fig. 6.

In order to encode local patterns neighboring each of the parameter coordinates, the quantizer 105 calculates the inner product of the representative vectors. If a representative vector regarding an object situated at the most forward position in real space has been acquired and this object is not hidden by anything, the inner product between this representative vector and a local pattern corresponding to this object will coincide with the norm of the representative vector in a state in which there is no measured error. Local patterns 601 and 602 in Fig. 6 are local patterns corresponding to such an object (situated at the most forward position).

A local pattern 603 shown in Fig. 6 is a local pattern corresponding to an object hidden by another object. A common region shared by the support of the local pattern 603 and that of the other object (i.e., the local pattern 602) in real space is b_{10} and b_{11} . In

094.234.05.799
a region other than this shared region (namely a region
extending from b11 to b 13), the inner product between
the representative vectors corresponding thereto should
coincide with the norm. In other words, in the shared
5 regions b10 and b11, it can be predicted that the object
603 will be hidden by the object 602. Thus, by
ascertaining the inner product between representative
vectors at each of the parameter coordinates, the
perspective-order relationship of the respective local
10 pattern can be determined.

An algebraic encoder 108 algebraically encodes an
output signal 157 from the perspective-order computation
unit 107, namely a code word, real space coordinates,
rotation and scale of a singularity and perspective-
15 order information, and outputs a code 158. The
outputted code 158 is stored in a memory (not shown) or
transmitted via a line.

An algebraic decoder 109 algebraically decodes the
code 158, which has entered from a memory or line. An
20 inverse quantizer 110 generates local patterns from the
code word contained in the algebraically decoded signal
159 and outputs the local patterns as a signal 160
indicative of the multi-resolution representation.

On the basis of the algebraically decoded real

space coordinates, rotation, scale and perspective-order information, an image synthesizer 111 combines at least two local patterns, which have been generated by the inverse quantizer 110, into a single image. The signal
5 160 is expressed in multi-resolution space. An inverse transformation unit 112 inversely transforms the image (the multi-resolution representation), which has been synthesized by the image synthesizer 111, to a function in real space and outputs a signal 162 indicative of
10 this function.

An image output unit 113 is a printer or display and forms or displays the image represented by the signal 162. The image output unit 113 stores the signal 162 on a recording medium or outputs the signal 162 to
15 an image processor or computer, not shown.

An example has been described in which the transformation unit 102 transforms the input image 151 to multi-resolution space. However, it is also effective to transform the input image 151 to a multi-
20 resolution pyramid. This method involves dividing the original image into several (e.g., four) partial images, obtaining the mean of the pixel values of each of the partial images, subdividing the partial images into several partial images and obtaining the mean of each

image. By repeating this processing, a multi-resolution space approximation of the original image can be obtained.

Thus, in accordance with this embodiment, each
5 input image can be expressed by a plurality of code
words as well as the positions and sizes thereof or by
the perspective-order relationship of the representative
vectors. Further, though innumerable images can be
generated by movement of a finite number of rigid bodies,
10 this embodiment makes it possible to compactly express
an image set of this type.

More specifically, this embodiment has the following effects:

(1) The perspective-order computation unit
15 extracts information indicative of a plurality of code
words, positions corresponding thereto and/or angle of
rotation and/or scale, as well as information
representing the perspective-order relationship of any
two of these representative vectors, contained in the
20 input image outputted by the quantizer. On the basis of
the extracted information, the encoder encodes the input
image. This makes it possible to achieve highly
efficient encoding.

(2) The perspective-order computation unit

compares the local patterns of one image with those of another one using the information extracted in (1) above. As a result, the comparison of the local patterns of any two images can be performed with ease.

5 (3) If (2) above is applied to an image recognition apparatus, retrieval of image data from partial images can be performed with ease.

10 (4) By combining the encoding apparatus described in (1) above and a decoding apparatus having the algebraic decoder 109, the inverse quantizer 110 which generates local patterns from a plurality of code words resulting from decoding and the image synthesizer 111 for synthesizing local patterns by positions, rotation angles and sizes corresponding to the generated local
15 patterns and the information representing the perspective-order relationship of any two representative vectors, the amount of data transmitted, if image data are to be transmitted, is greatly reduced and the number of items of data (images) capable of being stored in a
20 memory device can be increased.

Second Embodiment

Fig. 7 is a block diagram illustrating the construction of an image processing apparatus according to a second embodiment of the present invention.

As shown in Fig. 7, the apparatus includes an image input unit 1108 having a wide-view lens 1101, an array sensor 1102 and an input-parameter controller 1106, the details of which will be described later. Light that
5 has passed through the wide-angle lens 1101 impinges upon the array sensor 1102, which converts the light to an image signal and outputs the image signal.

A transformation unit 1103 applies processing, described later, to the image signal that has entered
10 from the image input unit 1108. A singularity detector 1104 applies processing, described later, to a signal that has entered from the transformation unit 1103. A transformation encoder 1105 applies processing, described later, to a signal that has entered from the
15 singularity detector 1104 and outputs a code to an information processor 1107.

[Wide-view lens 1101]

Fig. 8 is a diagram showing an example of a coordinate transformation performed by the wide-view
20 lens 1101. In Fig. 8, x represents the radius of a polar coordinate system on an image plane situated on the front side of the input system, and t represents the radius of the polar coordinate system after a transformation performed by the wide-view lens 1101.

09342841-051799

Since this optical system is rotationally symmetric, the description given below will be rendered solely with regard to the radial direction.

If we let ϕ represent the angle of incidence with respect to the optic axis and ξ the focal length of a lens having the radius of the circle shown in Fig. 8, the radii t and x will be represented by the following equations, respectively:

$$t = 2\xi \tan(\phi / 2) \quad (13)$$

$$x = \xi \tan(\phi) \quad (14)$$

On the basis of Equations (13), (14), the radius x can be expressed by the equation below as a function of the radius t . This is the coordinate transformation in the wide-view lens 1101.

$$x = \frac{t}{1 - (\frac{t}{2\xi})^2} \quad (15)$$

The pattern (function) of frequency $f(0)$, in the radial direction, which spreads over the entirety of the image plane is changed to the following function by the wide-view lens 1101:

$$f(t) = f(0) \frac{\{1 - (\frac{t}{2\xi})^2\}^2}{1 + (\frac{t}{2\xi})^2} \quad (16)$$

Of course, in a case where an arbitrary object present in three-dimensional space is adopted as the

subject, it will suffice to know only the relationship between the incidence angle ϕ and the radius t .

Accordingly, the coordinate transformation becomes as follows:

$$t = 2\xi \tan(\phi/2) \quad (17)$$

The coordinate transformation performed by the wide-view lens 1101 described above is a conformal map. Accordingly, singularities not present in the original image do not arise.

[Array sensor 1102]

If we let $\phi_j(x)$ represent the integral kernel, the output g_j of a j th (counting from the center of the optic axis) array sensor 1102 will be represented by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= \langle \phi_j(x(t;\xi)) | f(x(t;\xi)) \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \bar{\phi}_j(x(t;\xi)) f(x(t;\xi)) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

[Transformation unit 1103]

The transformation unit 1103 causes a gradient ∇ to act upon an image $f(x,y)$ which is a function in two-dimensional space, thereby generating a vector field.

$$\begin{aligned} g(x,y) &= \nabla f(x,y) \\ &= e_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + e_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

09312641-051795

where e_1, e_2 are components of basis.

Further, $g(x, y)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$g(z) = r(z) \exp(\theta(z)) \quad (20)$$

$$z = x + iy \quad (21)$$

$$r(z) = \|\nabla f(x, y)\| = \sqrt{(df/dx)^2 + (df/dy)^2} \quad (22)$$

$$\theta(z) = \arg(\nabla f(x, y)) = \arctan\left(\frac{df/dy}{df/dx}\right) \quad (23)$$

Complex representation is used in the description
5 given below.

[Singularity detector 1104]

The singularity detector 1104 detects singularities
by utilizing the argument principle. The argument
principle will now be described. Let a function $f(z)$ be
10 a meromorphic in a simply-connected region D , and let C
represent a simple closed curve having a positive
direction within D . Assume that there is neither a zero
point nor pole present on the closed curve C . In the
interior of the closed curve C , assume that the function
15 $f(z)$ has a zero point of order λ_k at each of a_k ($k = 1,$
 $2, \dots, m$) and a pole of order μ_k at each of b_k ($k = 1,$
 $2, \dots, n$). When starting from a point Z_0 on the closed
curve C and returning to the point Z_0 upon making one
full revolution of the closed curve, the amount of
20 increase $\Delta_c \arg[f(z)]$ of the argument of the function

09312841.051799

points and poles at all pixels is obtained using the above-described argument principle. At this time, the zero points or poles included in each circle are considered to be one at most. Therefore, a zero point is included when the right side of Equation (25) is positive and a pole is included when the right side of Equation (25) is negative. Accordingly, a center pixel when a pole is determined to be present is detected as the position of a singularity.

Here $\Delta \arg[g(z)]$ is calculated in the manner described below (see Fig. 9, which illustrates an integration path). The integration path may be a closed curve and is not limited the size shown in Fig. 9.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \arg(g(z))|_{z=z_{m,n}} & \equiv \gamma(g(z_{m+1,n+1}), g(z_{m+1,n})) + \gamma(g(z_{m,n+1}), g(z_{m+1,n+1})) \\ & + \gamma(g(z_{m-1,n+1}), g(z_{m,n+1})) + \gamma(g(z_{m-1,n}), g(z_{m-1,n+1})) \\ & + \gamma(g(z_{m-1,n-1}), g(z_{m-1,n})) + \gamma(g(z_{m,n-1}), g(z_{m-1,n-1})) \\ & + \gamma(g(z_{m+1,n-1}), g(z_{m,n-1})) + \gamma(g(z_{m+1,n}), g(z_{m+1,n-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\gamma(x, y) = \begin{cases} \arg x - \arg y & \text{if } \arg x - \arg y \leq \pi \\ \arg y - \arg x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

[Transformation encoder 1105]

In order to divide the defined region of a vector field into a plurality of areas based upon the positions of poles, it will suffice to divide the defined region in such a manner that only one pole, for example, is

included (see Fig. 10, which shows an example of dividing a region). If this expedient is adopted, convergence of the Laurent series around the pole is guaranteed in each region except the pole.

5 In order to functionally approximate the partitioned vector field around each pole in the range of the region corresponding thereto, if the positions of the poles and zero points within the partial area D_j as well as the order are already known, the function $w(z)$ can be expressed by Equations (28) through (30) below based upon the pole positions and orders a_j, m_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, J$) and the zero-point positions and orders b_k, n_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, K$). In a case where the zero point position and order cannot be specified, an orthogonal polynomial expansion can be employed. By using the Laurent series expansion around each pole to approximate each region, a reduction in error can be expected in a case where a large area is expressed by one function.

$$w(z) = \sum_j c_{-j} (z - a_j)^{-m_j} + \sum_k c_k (z - b_k)^{n_k} \quad (28)$$

$$c_{-j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D_j} d\eta \frac{w(\eta)}{(\eta - a_j)^{-j+1}} \quad (29)$$

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D_k} d\eta \frac{w(\eta)}{(\eta - b_k)^{k+1}} \quad (30)$$

20 [Input-parameter controller 1106]

093441-054399
66450-142460

The input-parameter controller 1106 controls the rotational angle of the optic axis of wide-view lens 1101 based upon control information of the rotational angle of the optic axis that has entered from the information processor 1107. The information processor 1106 calculates the amount of control of the rotational angle of the optic axis in such a manner that a singularity is taken on the optic axis.

Thus, by regarding visual information, which serves as a function on a two-dimensional plane, as one variable complex function, it is assured that the poles and zero points of the complex function will be isolated so long as the function is not a constant. In a case where the function is a constant, it will not contain any information and may therefore be ignored. Accordingly, in accordance with this embodiment, a finite number of singularities can be obtained naturally and with facility.

The apparatus is strongly resistant to noise. For example, impulse noise is detected as an edge in the method that relies upon both first-order and second-order differentiation. However, in the method of generating a vector field and detecting the singularity thereof, impulse noise is detected as a zero point.

09312841.051799

Accordingly, if a pole is employed as a singularity, as mentioned above, impulse noise has no effect whatsoever upon singularity detection. Adopting a pole and not a zero point as a singularity is appropriate from the
5 viewpoint of function approximation. The reason for this is that the deciding factor of converging radius in case of series expansion is the position of a pole; a zero point plays no part whatsoever.

Thus, this embodiment can be suitably applied to
10 image processing such as extraction of feature points and encoding/decoding of images.

Other Embodiment

The present invention can be applied to a system
15 constituted by a plurality of devices (e.g., host computer, interface, reader, printer) or to an apparatus comprising a single device (e.g., copy machine, facsimile).

Further, the object of the present invention can be
20 also achieved by providing a storage medium storing program codes for performing the aforesaid processes to a system or an apparatus, reading the program codes with a computer (e.g., CPU, MPU) of the system or apparatus from the storage medium, then executing the program.

05312241.051799

In this case, the program codes read from the storage medium realize the functions according to the embodiments, and the storage medium storing the program codes constitutes the invention.

5 Further, the storage medium, such as a floppy disk, a hard disk, an optical disk, a magneto-optical disk, CD-ROM, CD-R, a magnetic tape, a non-volatile type memory card, and ROM can be used for providing the program codes.

10 Furthermore, besides aforesaid functions according to the above embodiments are realized by executing the program codes which are read by a computer, the present invention includes a case where an OS (operating system) or the like working on the computer performs a part or
15 entire processes in accordance with designations of the program codes and realizes functions according to the above embodiments.

20 Furthermore, the present invention also includes a case where, after the program codes read from the storage medium are written in a function expansion card which is inserted into the computer or in a memory provided in a function expansion unit which is connected to the computer, CPU or the like contained in the function expansion card or unit performs a part or

0912341.051399

entire process in accordance with designations of the program codes and realizes functions of the above embodiments.

As many apparently widely different embodiments of the present invention can be made without departing from the spirit and scope thereof, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the specific embodiments thereof except as defined in the appended claims.

09312844, 09312844

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An image processing apparatus, comprising:

transformation means for transforming data space of
an input image to multi-resolution space and outputting

5 a multi-resolution representation of the input image;

detecting means for detecting a singularity in the
input image;

extracting means for extracting a local pattern,
which is formed by a spatial arrangement of intensities
10 of the multi-resolution representation in a partial area
containing the detected singularity, with regard to
partial areas of a plurality of sizes;

quantizing means for creating a quantization code
book based upon the extracted local pattern and
15 replacing said multi-resolution representation by a code
word using the code book; and

encoding means for algebraic encoding code data
which includes position coordinates of the singularity
in said multi-resolution representation and the code
20 word provided by said quantizing means.

2. The apparatus according to claim 1, further
comprising counting means for counting frequency of
occurrence of said local pattern, wherein said
quantizing means creates a code book based upon results

09312841.051799

of counting performed by said counting means.

3. The apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising structuring means for detecting an inclusion relation of any two representative vectors that have
5 been registered in said code book, and structuring said code book.

4. The apparatus according to claim 3, further comprising:

memory means for storing degree of conformity or
10 quantization error, calculated by said quantizing means, when the local pattern is allocated to a representative vector; and

deciding means which, on the basis of the degree of conformity or quantization error, is for deciding the
15 order relating to the perspective depth between any two representative vectors contained in the code data;

wherein said encoding means encodes the order relating to the perspective depth.

5. An image processing apparatus comprising the image
20 processing apparatus described in claim 4, wherein said image processing apparatus is applied to image recognition to retrieve image data from a partial image.

6. An image processing apparatus comprising:

decoding means for decoding a code generated by the

image processing apparatus described in claim 4;

inverse quantizing means for generating a local pattern from a code word contained in code data decoded by said decoding means; and

5 synthesizing means for combining a plurality of local patterns, which have been generated by said inverse quantizing means, based upon position coordinates of a singularity decoded by said decoding means, and order information relating to depth of a
10 plurality of representative vectors.

7. The apparatus according to claim 6, further comprising memory means for storing code data generated by the image processing apparatus described in claim 1, and outputting the code data stored in said memory means
15 to said decoding means.

8. An image processing method, comprising:

a transformation step of transforming data space of an input image to multi-resolution space and outputting a multi-resolution representation of the input image;
20 a detecting step of detecting a singularity in the input image;

an extracting step of extracting a local pattern, which is formed by a spatial array of intensities of said multi-resolution representation in a partial area

093434-054-00

containing the detected singularity, with regard to
partial areas of a plurality of sizes;

a quantizing step of creating a quantization code
book based upon the extracted local pattern and

5 replacing said multi-resolution representation by a code
word using the code book; and

an encoding step of algebraically encoding code
data which includes position coordinates of the
singularity in said multi-resolution representation and
10 the code word obtained at said quantizing step.

9. The method according to claim 8, further comprising
a counting step of counting frequency of occurrence of
said local pattern, wherein said quantizing step creates
a code book based upon results of counting obtained at
15 said counting step.

10. The method according to claim 9, further comprising
a structuring step of detecting an inclusion relation of
any two representative vectors that have been registered
in said code book, and structuring said code book.

20 11. The method according to claim 9, further
comprising:

a calculating step of calculating, at said
quantization step, degree of conformity or quantization
error when the local pattern is allocated to a

05313841.054799

representative vector; and

a deciding step which, on the basis of the degree of conformity or quantization error, is for deciding the order relating to the perspective depth between any two

5 representative vectors contained in the code data;

wherein said encoding step encodes the order relating to the perspective depth.

12. The method according to claim 11, further comprising:

10 a decoding step of decoding a code encoded at said encoding step;

an inverse quantizing step of generating a local pattern from a code word contained in code data decoded at said decoding step; and

15 a synthesizing step of combining a plurality of local patterns, which have been generated at said inverse quantizing step, based upon position coordinates of a singularity decoded at said decoding step, and order information relating to perspective depth of a
20 plurality of representative vectors.

13. An image processing apparatus comprising:

input means for entering an image;

transformation means for transforming data of the input image, which is represented by a function on a

09313841-051799

two-dimensional plane entered by said input means, to data of a vector field;

detecting means for detecting a pole and a zero point of image data transformed by said transformation

5 means;

arithmetic means for calculating a finite number of expansion coefficients of a polynomial expansion about the pole detected by said detecting means; and

deciding means which, based upon the position of
10 each pole detected by said detecting means, is for deciding an area in which a polynomial expansion of finite degree obtained by said arithmetic means about each pole can be approximated.

14. The apparatus according to claim 13, wherein said
15 detecting means detects the pole and zero point by the argument principle.

15. The apparatus according to claim 13, further comprising calculating means for calculating amount of control of an input parameter of said input means based
20 upon the pole.

16. The apparatus according to claim 15, wherein said input means has a wide-view lens and an array sensor and controls angle of rotation of an optic axis based upon the amount of control.

05312841-051799

17. An image processing method comprising:

an input step of entering an image;

a transformation step of transforming data of the input image, which is represented by a function on a

5 two-dimensional plane entered at said input step, to data of a vector field;

a detecting step of detecting a pole and a zero point of image data transformed at said transformation step;

10 an arithmetic step of calculating a finite number of expansion coefficients of a polynomial expansion about the pole detected at said detecting step; and

a deciding step which, based upon the position of each pole detected at said detecting step, is for
15 deciding an area in which a polynomial expansion of finite degree obtained at said arithmetic step about each pole can be approximated.

18. The apparatus according to claim 13, further comprising a calculating step of calculating amount of
20 control of an input parameter of said input step based upon the pole.

05312241.051259

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A transformation unit 102 transforms an entered image to multi-resolution space. A quantizer 105 performs vector quantization on a local pattern of an image of a multi-resolution representation. A perspective-order calculating unit 107 extracts a plurality of code words, positions corresponding thereto and/or angle of rotation and/or scale, and the perspective-order relationship of a plurality of these representative vectors, from the quantized image. An algebraic encoder 108 encodes the input image based upon the extracted information. As a result, there are provided an image processing apparatus and method for asymmetric encoding without motion in three dimensions and extraction of a three-dimensional structure. Further, a transformation unit 1103 transforms an entered image to vector field, and a singularity detector 1104 detects a singularity in the transformed image. A transformation encoder 1105 partitions the vector field into areas which include detected feature points, and each area is encoded by functional approximation. As a result, a finite number of feature points can be obtained with ease and an image processing apparatus and method exhibiting strong resistance to the effects of noise are provided.

054344-051759

FIG. 1




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9





FIG. 10

09:43:41.034799

COPY

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND METHOD

the specification of which
[x] is attached hereto. [] was filed on _____ as
Application No. _____ and was amended on _____
(if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Country	Application No.	Filed (Day/Mo./Yr.)	(Yes/No) Priority Claimed
JAPAN	7-076510	31/03/1995	Yes
JAPAN	7-076515	31/03/1995	Yes

I hereby appoint Joseph M. Fitzpatrick (Registration No. 17,398), Lawrence F. Scinto (Registration No. 18,973), William J. Brunet (Registration No. 20,452), Robert L. Baechtold (Registration No. 20,860), John A. O'Brien (Registration No. 24,367), John A. Krause (Registration No. 24,613), Henry J. Renk (Registration No. 25,499), Peter Saxon (Registration No. 24,947), Anthony M. Zupcic (Registration No. 27,276), Charles P. Baker (Registration No. 26,702), Stevan J. Bosses (Registration No. 22,291), Edward E. Vassallo (Registration No. 29,117), Ronald A. Clayton (Registration No. 26,718), Lawrence A. Stahl (Registration No. 30,110), Laura A. Bauer (Registration No. 29,767), Leonard P. Diana (Registration No. 29,296), David M. Quinlan (Registration No. 26,641), Nicholas N. Kallas (Registration No. 31,530), William M. Wannisky (Registration No. 28,373), Lawrence S. Perry (Registration No. 31,865), Robert H. Fischer (Registration No. 30,051), Christopher Philip Wrist (Registration No. 32,078), Gary M. Jacobs (Registration No. 28,861), Michael K. O'Neill (Registration No. 32,622), Bruce C. Haas (Registration No. 32,734), Scott K. Reed (Registration No. 32,433), Scott D. Malpede (Registration No. 32,533), Fredrick M. Zullo (Registration No. 32,452), Richard P. Bauer (Registration No. 31,588), Warren E. Olsen (Registration No. 27,290), Abigail F. Cousins (Registration No. 29,292), Steven E. Warner (Registration No. 33,326), Thomas J. O'Connell (Registration No. 33,202), Aaron C. Deditch (Registration No. 33,865), Penina Wollman (Registration No. 30,816), David L. Schaeffer (Registration No. 32,716), Jack S. Cubert (Registration No. 24,245), Mark A. Williamson (Registration No. 33,628), John T. Whelan (Registration No. 32,448), Jean K. Dudek (Registration No. 30,938), Raymond R. Mandra (Registration No. 34,382), Dominick A. Conde (Registration No. 33,856), Steven C. Bauman (Registration No. 33,832), Pasquale A. Razzano (Registration No. 25,512), John W. Behringer (Registration No. 23,086), Robert C. Kline (Registration No. 17,739), Benjamin C. Hsing (Registration No. 34,528), Mark J. Itri (Registration No. 36,171), William C. Hwang (Registration No. 36,169), Karin L. Williams (Registration No. 36,721), Michael P. Sandomato (Registration No. 35,345), Jack M. Arnold (Registration No. 25,823), John D. Carlin (Registration No. 37,292), Daniel S. Glueck (Registration No. 37,838), Victor J. Geraci (Registration No. 38,157), John I. Cotter (Registration No. 38,116), Joseph W. Ragusa (Registration No. 38,586), Brian L. Klock (Registration No. 36,570), Anne M. Maher (Registration No. 38,231), and William J. Zak, Jr. (Registration No. 38,668) my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Address all correspondence to:

Fitzpatrick, Cella, Harper & Scinto
277 Park Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10172
Telephone No. (212) 758-2400

09342841.051799

COPY

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

(Page 2)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor Teruyoshi WASHIZAWA
Inventor's signature Teruyoshi Washizawa
Date March 22, 1996 Citizen/Subject of Japan
Residence 1620-1-209, Iiyama, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa-ken,
Japan
Post Office Address c/o CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA,
30-2, Shimomaruko 3-chome, Ohta-ku, Tokyo, Japan

Full Name of Second Joint Inventor, if any _____
Second Inventor's signature _____
Date _____ Citizen/Subject of _____
Residence _____

Post Office Address _____

Full Name of Third Joint Inventor, if any _____
Third Inventor's signature : _____
Date _____ Citizen/Subject of _____
Residence _____

Post Office Address _____

Full Name of Fourth Joint Inventor, if any _____
Fourth Inventor's signature _____
Date _____ Citizen/Subject of _____
Residence _____
Post Office Address _____

Full Name of Fifth Joint Inventor, if any _____
Fifth Inventor's signature _____
Date _____ Citizen/Subject of _____
Residence _____
Post Office Address _____